🍅 📊 🛐 📉 🦳 About Subscribe Advertise Contact Join ACS 👔

Serving The Chemical, Life Sciences & Laboratory Worlds

Search			

Home

Magazine

News

Departments

Collections

Blogs

Multimedia

Advanced Search lobs

Home > Volume 92 Issue 34 > Rethinking The Mole And Kilogram



Learn More



Volume 92 Issue 34 | p. 25 Issue Date: August 25, 2014

Rethinking The Mole And Kilogram

ACS Meeting News: Chemists debate a new approach to the International System of Units

By Jyllian Kemsley

Department: Science & Technology News Channels: Analytical SCENE

Keywords: SI, mole, Avogadro, kilogram, Planck, watt balance

Email 🔤 Print -

MOST POPULAR

Viewed

Commented Shared

Computer's Heat Sink Used To Slash **Cost Of PCR**

2014 Salaries & Employment

Aiming For HIV's Weak Spot

Global Top 50

China Reveals R&D Spending

*Most Viewed in the last 7 days

[+]Enlarge



WEIGHTY TASK A new watt balance at NIST will be operational in

Credit: Curt Suplee/NIST

Relics in the form of rods, rulers, and weights go back thousands of years, suggesting that people have long cared about standardized measurements. Today, the International System of Units(SI) defines seven base units of measure: the meter, kilogram, second, ampere, kelvin, mole, and candela. But the system might be getting a makeover.

If international consensus can be reached, by 2018, the SI will instead set seven fundamental constants of nature. The base units will then be derived from those constants.

For example, the period taken up by a second will be calculated from a set frequency, 9,192,631,770 Hz, that describes the ground-state hyperfine splitting of the cesium-133 atom. And the length of a meter will come from defining the speed of light in vacuum to be exactly 299,792,458 m s⁻¹.Other base units would be derived from the Planck (kilogram), Boltzmann (kelvin), and Avogadro (mole) constants; elementary charge (ampere); and the socalled luminous efficacy of specific monochromatic radiation (candela).

Among chemists, redefining the mole and kilogram with this new approach is causing much debate. The subject was the center of discussion during a symposium at the American Chemical Society national meeting in San Francisco earlier this month. The session was sponsored by the ACS Committee on Nomenclature, Terminology & Symbols.

The mole is currently defined as the amount of substance that contains as many specified entities as there are atoms in 0.012 kg of 12 C. In the new SI, the Avogadro constant would be defined as exactly $6.022X \times 10^{23}$ mol⁻¹, where X represents additional digits to be set according to the best value available when the definition is adopted.

Some chemists dislike the fact that the proposed SI labels the Avogadro constant as the official way to measure "amount of substance." The Avogadro constant and the mole are fine for talking about molecular reactions, but they don't work across the board. "Auto exhaust emission is in grams per mile, and sulfur in gasoline is in parts per million per gallon," noted Willie E. May, acting director of the National Institute of Standards & Technology (NIST).

[+]Enlarge

RELATED ARTICLES

A More Fundamental International **System Of Units** Check Your IQ On The SI

Redefining The Kilogram And Mole Redefining The Kilogram

Avogadro's Number Is Up ...

Redefining The Base Unit Of Mass

Advertisement

Current base units

Mole: The amount of substance of a system which contains as many elementary entities as there are atoms in 0.012 kg of ^{12}C

Kilogram: The mass of the international prototype of the kilogram

X = Additional digits to be set when definition is adopted.

Updating The SI

The mole was the last of the base units added to the SI, and some scientists now propose eliminating it from the list of SI constants. Analytical chemists "think in terms of Avogadro's number, a scaling factor to bridge the atomic to the macroscopic level," said Paul De Bièvre, an independent metrology consultant. He and others suggested that it would be better to treat Avogadro's number as a counting number, akin to a dozen or googol.

The kilogram, meanwhile, is the only remaining SI base unit defined in terms of a physical artifact: It is the mass of a plumsized cylinder of platinum-iridium alloykept in a vault at the International Bureau of Weights & Measures, in France. Established as the official kilogram standard in 1889, the artifact's mass has diverged over time from the masses of copies of the cylinder by about 50 µg.

Metrologists would now like to peg the kilogram to something fundamental and invariant in nature. The favored approach so far is to use the Planck constant, which would be set at exactly 6.626X × 10⁻³⁴ kg m² s⁻¹. To get from the Planck constant to the kilogram, scientists could use a watt balance, which balances the weight of a test object by passing a current through a coil suspended in a magnetic field. "The math is simple, but don't ask me to explain the physics," said Carmen J. Giunta, a professor of chemistry at Le Moyne College. Scientists already use watt balances to determine the Planck constant from the kilogram standard.

Paul J. Karol, a professor emeritus of chemistry at Carnegie Mellon University, insists that using the watt balance to go in the reverse direction to define the kilogram from the Planck constant, is "an absolute mistake." Besides the conceptual difficulty of connecting electrical current to the kilogram, Karol pointed out, the mass balance approach depends on the local gravitational field, which can vary depending on geographical location, tides, and other factors.

Karol and others would like to see the kilogram defined in terms of the mass of one atom of ¹²C, another unvarying property of nature. But with this approach, the Planck constant would continue to be derived from the kilogram, something physicists are

For most chemists, the proposed SI revisions will go unnoticed: Only the most extreme analytical measurements would be affected. Said NIST's May, "Our aims are to make sure chemical and biological measurements made around the world are comparable over space and time."

Chemical & Engineering News ISSN 0009-2347 Copyright © 2014 American Chemical Society

Leave A Comment

Thank you for your comment. Your initial comment will be rev	viewed prior to appearing on the site.
Name	
Email Address(Required to comment)	



 $6.022X \times 10^{23} \,\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$

Planck: h is exactly $6.626X \times 10^{-34} \text{ kg m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$



Spectrometer .

- Stable. long-term performance
- Reduced running costs
- Simplified analysis with easy-to-use software assistant functions

Learn More Click Here

Info for Advertisers